SHRIVENHAM NEIGHBOURHOOD PLAN 2018-2031

CONSULTATION STATEMENT



Shrivenham Parish Council

July 2019

1. INTRODUCTION

This Consultation Statement has been prepared to fulfil the legal obligations of the Neighbourhood Planning Regulations 2012. Section 15(2) Part 5 of the Regulations sets out what a Consultation Statement should contain. It

a) contains details of the persons and bodies who were consulted about the proposed neighbourhood development plan;

b) explains how they were consulted;

c) summarises the main issues and concerns raised by the persons consulted;

d) describes how these issues and concerns have been considered and, where relevant, addressed in the proposed Neighbourhood Plan.

This statement is submitted under Regulation 15 to support the draft Shrivenham Neighbourhood Plan.

2. AIMS

The aims of the Shrivenham Neighbourhood Plan consultation process were:

a) to involve as much of the community as possible throughout all consultation stages of the Plan in order that the Plan was informed by the views of the local people and other stakeholders from the start of the Neighbourhood Planning process.

b) to ensure that community consultation events took place at critical points in the process where decisions needed to be taken.

c) to engage with as wide a range of people as possible, using a variety of approaches and communication and consultation techniques.

d) to capture all comments and correspondence and record how consultation shaped the policies that emerged, and then ensure that results of consultation were made available to all via the website.

3. BACKGROUND

The development of Shrivenham Neighbourhood Plan was undertaken by Shrivenham Parish Council following a 30-month consultation period with the residents. The Parish Council unanimously agreed to formulate the plan at a meeting held on the 2nd February 2015. This was followed by an application for area designation, which was formally approved by the District Council on the 13th November 2015.

The timetable of events is given below. Steering Group meetings are not included.

Date	Activity
2nd February 2015	Parish Council agrees to develop a Neighbourhood Plan.
17th March 2015	Public meeting to promote the Plan and attract volunteers for the Steering Group
7th April 2015	Area Designation submitted to the Vale of White Horse District Council
21st April 2015	Launch of Neighbourhood Plan flier
23rd April – 21st May	VWHDC public consultation for Area Designation.
4th May	Presence at Party in the Rec
11th May	Parish Council approves Steering Group Terms of Reference
26th May	Presentation given at Parish Assembly
7th July 2015	Community Groups surveyed
29th August 2015	Public engagement activity at the Village Fete
17th September 2015	Steering Group suspended following objection from Defence Academy over area designation.
21st November 2015	Revised area designation agreed by the District Council
30th June 2016	First meeting of new Steering Group
4th July 2016	Revised terms of reference for new Steering group agreed by Parish Council
27th August 2016	Public engagement at Village Fete and questionnaire on traffic
17th November 2016	Public consultation on transport (Getting about without a car)
25th March 2017	Public Engagement Design Day
January - April 2017	New Housing Needs Survey carried out by CFO
15th August 2017	Commercial activity survey
January – March 2018	Lepus carry out Landscape and Village Character assessments
19th March 2018	Meeting with owner of Pennyhooks Farm
17th April 2018	Update on Neighbourhood Plan given at Parish Assembly
June 2018	Allotment holders survey
July 2018	Open space survey – residents of Youghal Close and surrounding area
July 2018	Meeting with Wilts and Berks Canal Trust
October-November 2018	Pre-submission consultation.

The project plan showing the work completed to date and the remaining stages is included at Appendix 2.

4. Consultees

As shown in the table above, a number of community consultation events took place during the development of the Neighbourhood Plan. Apart from the Consultation carried out by VWHDC on the area Designation and the Pre-Submission Consultation these were all drop-in events.

The Housing Needs Assessment was carried out by questionnaire, which was distributed to every household.

A questionnaire was sent to all identified businesses within the parish for the Commercial activity survey.

The following community groups were interviewed for the Community Life survey:

- Shrivenham Amblers
- Shrivenham Amateur Dramatic society
- Ballet Class
- Shrivenham Bowls Club
- St Andrews Campanologists
- Generations of Sound
- Shrivenham Cricket Club
- Shrivenham and District Gardening Club
- Girl Guides Association
- Health Walks
- Heritage Society
- Shrivenham Music Club
- Shrivenham Photographic Society
- Pilates Group
- Shooting Club
- St Andrews Church
- Tai Chi Shrivenham

All allotment holders (c.95) were consulted via questionnaire for the allotment holder's survey.

Residents of Youghal Close and the western end of Berens Road were consulted via questionnaire about open space in their area.

A meeting with Lydia Otter, owner of Pennyhooks Farm, was held to discuss the aims and objectives of the Autism Centre.

A meeting with local representatives of the Wilts and Berks Canal Trust was held to discuss Local Green Space and how this related to the site of the canal.

Details of those contacted for the pre-submission consultation are given below. In addition a summary document containing all the Neighbourhood Plan policies was delivered to every household in the plan

area, and a drop-in centre was open to the public for three mornings and one evening every week during the consultation period.

Statutory consultation bodies	**Organisation**
a local planning authority, county council or parish council any part of whose area is in or adjoins the area of the local planning authority;	Oxfordshire County Council
a local planning authority, county council or parish council any part of whose area is in or adjoins the area of the local planning authority;	Oxfordshire County Council
a local planning authority, county council or parish council any part of whose area is in or adjoins the area of the local planning authority;	Vale of White Horse District Council
a local planning authority, county council or parish council any part of whose area is in or adjoins the area of the local planning authority;	Simon Howell/Elaine Ware – District Councillors
Watchfield Parish Council	Claire Arnold (clerk)
Highworth Town Council	David Lane (clerk)
Bourton Parish Council	Maggie Brown (clerk)
Compton Beauchamp Parish Meeting	Hamish Macintosh (Chairman)
Ashbury Parish Council	Claire Arnold (clerk)
Longcot Parish Council	Mrs T Brock (clerk)
the Coal Authority;	The Coal Authority
the Homes and Communities Agency;	Homes and Communities Agency
Natural England;	Natural England
the Environment Agency;	Environment Agency
the Historic Buildings and Monuments Commission for England	Historic England
Network Rail Infrastructure Limited (company number 2904587);	Network Rail
Network Rail Infrastructure Limited (company number 2904587);	Network Rail
a strategic highways company - any part of whose area is in or adjoins the neighbourhood area;	Highways England
any person -	
to whom the electronic communications code applies by virtue of a direction given under section 106(3)(a) of the Communications Act 2003; and	BT
to whom the electronic communications code applies by virtue of a direction given under section 106(3)(a) of the Communications Act 2003; and	BT
who owns or controls electronic communications apparatus situated in any part of the area of the local planning authority;	EE
who owns or controls electronic communications apparatus situated in any part of the area of the local planning authority;	Three

who owns or controls electronic communications apparatus situated in any part of the area of the local planning authority;	Virgin Media
who owns or controls electronic communications apparatus situated in any part of the area of the local planning authority;	EMF Enquiries - Vodaphone & O2
where it exercises functions in any part of the neighbourhood area —	
a clinical commissioning group established under section 14D of the National Health Service Act 2006;	Oxfordshire Clinical Commissioning Group
the National Health Service Commissioning Board;	NHS England
a person to whom a licence has been granted under section 6(1)(b) and (c) of the Electricity Act 1989;	Amec Foster Wheeler E&I UK (on behalf of National Grid)
a person to whom a licence has been granted under section 7(2) of the Gas Act 1986;	UK Power Networks
a sewerage undertaker; and (v)a water undertaker;	Thames Water - Developer Services
voluntary bodies some or all of whose activities benefit all or any part of the neighbourhood area;	
WI	Mary Hewitt
Shrivenham Tennis Club	Chris Hand
Shrivenham Football Club	Matt Hirst
Shrivenham Cricket Club	Rodger Hood
Shrivenham Bowls Club	Stewart Smith (Chairman)
Shrivenham Small Bore Club	Penny Hockley
Vale of White Horse Gliding Centre	Local landowning club
Shrivenham Park Golf Club	Local landowning club
Wilts & Berks Canal Trust	Max Crofts
Shrivenham Fete Committee	Dave Branscombe
Shrivenham Heritage Society	Bjorn Watson
bodies which represent the interests of different racial, ethnic or national groups in the neighbourhood area;	
None	
bodies which represent the interests of different religious groups in the neighbourhood area;	
The Vicar at St Andrew's Church (or the chair of the PCC if there isn't a Vicar)	Revd Norma Fergussion (Associate Vicar)
The minister for the Methodist Church	Revd Keith Osborn
bodies which represent the interests of persons carrying on business in the neighbourhood area; and	

Westmil Solar Co-operative	
STS (garage)	Local Business
Shrivenham Motors	Local Business
Ivy Florist	Local Business
Co-op	Local Business
Post Office	Local Business
Shrivenham Pharmacy	Local Business
Minerva Propert Management	Local Business
Bloomfields Fine Foods	Local Business
The Treatment Rooms	Local Business
Barrington Arms	Hotel
Prince of Wales	Public House
The Crown	Public House/Restaurant
The White Horse Hair Studio	Local Business
Friends Hair Designers	Local Business
Billy Shears	Local Business
Steventon Reeves Hairdressers	Local Business
Kidson Trigg	Local Business
Gluck Gifts and Homeware	Local Business
One Stop	Local Business
Gulshan	Local Business
Rafus	Local Business
Treasure Take away	Local Business
Ponytail Hair Salon	Briony Curtis
Swanhill Dog Grooming	Beth Keene
CR Fabrications	Chris Read
L&G Removals	Gordon Matthews
South Africa Removals	Dian Kolev
The Ladder Factory	Pete Rowe
RSW Farm Supplies	Local Business
bodies which represent the interests of disabled persons in the neighbourhood area.	
Root and Branch	Karen English (Centre Manager)
Pennyhooks Farm	Lydia Otter
Landowners	
Mark Booty	co-owner of golf course
Robert Gay	Land Owner (Sandhill Farm)
Lydia Otter	Land Owner (Pennyhooks Farm)
Adam Twine	Land Owner (Colleymore Farm)
Michael East	Land Owner (Home Farm)
Mr Saunders	Land Owner (Stainswick Farm)
Eric Penser	Land Owner (Compton Beauchamp Estate)
Owner Broadleaze Farm	Land Owner
Owner Friars Farm	Mr and Mrs Kidon Trigg

Others	
Andre Botha	Request via email
Shrivenham Primary School	Miss Lalley (school administrator)
The Barn pre-school	Jane Oughton
Elm Tree Surgery	Practise Manager
Jack Corsellis	Request via email
Shrivenham Defence Academy	Wing Cdr Jamie Turnbull (Station Commander)

5. Issues and concerns raised during the consultation

POLICY AREA	SUMMARY OF KEY ISSUES & CONCERNS	HOW THE ISSUES HAVE BEEN ADDRESSED
Housing Need: To update previous Housing Needs Assessment 2011 and record changes from 2011 to 2017 using survey devised by Community First Oxfordshire (CFO) and distributed to all the 942 houses in the village in January 2017 The 2017 Housing Needs Survey can be found at Appendix 12 of the main NDP.	Number of new houses required, profile of potential residences, profile of current population need for affordable housing The surveys found that: • 90% of the properties in the village were owner-occupied, of which the vast majority are houses with three or more bedrooms. • Nearly 70% of villagers are over 45 of which roughly half are retired. • Two thirds of the residents have lived in their houses for more than 10 years indicating that the village has a long-standing settled population. • 30% of respondents would be interested in downsizing but just 12% might consider extending their homes. • 15% of households have members who have left the village in the past 5 years of which over half left because of employment or lack of suitable housing. • Roughly 20% of those say	Housing policies that have been developed include the following; 1. Policy on changing needs. Provision of new housing which meets the changing needs of existing residents. By delivering a mix of new housing which will continually rebalance the existing housing stock to better meet future local needs; for example by providing smaller, starter- and downsize-homes which represents a current need. 2. Provision of new housing that meets the needs of the existing residents by supporting development sites within the built up area providing that it does not have an adverse impact on the character of the surrounding area. 3. Provision of new housing that meets the needs of the existing residents. To seek viable means whereby families with meaningful

	they intend or would like to return, of which half say they can't because of lack of suitable or affordable homes. When asked about their households' need for affordable housing the response were for a single one-bed, three-two bed and two three-bed homes. Overall the requirement for affordable housing in the village had almost halved since 2011 when the previous survey was carried out. This exercise was completed in April 2017.	local connections to this area can be given some preferential access to new housing – particularly social and affordable housing. 4. To encourage the availability of housing for elderly residents seeking to downsize and first time buyers wishing to remain in the village.
Housing Design: A Design Day was undertaken in 2012 To update this survey and record changes a second Design Day was held in March 2017. A summary of the findings of the Design Day 2017 can be found at Appendices 13 and 18 of the main NDP.	To ensure that housing meets the needs of existing residents whilst maintaining the character of the village and ensuring new developments are sustainable.	Principles and policies were developed: 1. Principle: The provision of new housing that meets the needs of the existing residents. To ensure that new housing and development is designed and built to a high quality and respects the existing character of Shrivenham. 2. To support proposals which maintain and enhance the historic character of the Village Centre. 3. To ensure that all new developments including extensions provide support for electric vehicles
Traffic: Questionnaires regarding traffic and traffic calming were distributed at the Village Fete in 2016. A public engagement on Getting About without a Car was held on 17th November 2016. Appendices 14 and 15 of the main SDP contain the findings of	To provide safe routes around the village for non motorists. To Maintain the vitality and viability of the village centre Protecting Public Rights of Way in the wider rural landscape	Policies and Aspirations were developed: 1. Aspiration: To undertake a programme of traffic calming and management both generally and specifically for all roads accessing the village. 2. Policy: To ensure the village centre is accessible to all

the above two consulations.		users with particular reference to buses and the disabled. 3. Aspiration: to reorganise parking provision in the High Street and impose restrictions on delivery vehicles and 4. Policy: To maintain and enhance the valued features in the Landscape Character Areas
Business: Local industry and commercial activity was targeted in two ways. At the start of the process regular meetings of interested parties were held to canvass the views of local businesses. Subsequently in September 2017 a comprehensive list of businesses was drawn up. A questionnaire was developed aimed at determining the impact of the proposed housing developments and subsequent increase in residents on local commercial activity. This was then issued to all of the businesses in the parish. Appendices 16 and 17 of the main NDP contain the details of the Commercial consultation.	To optimise and promote opportunities for business development and employment in and around the village.	Principles and Policies developed: 1. To promote local opportunities for local jobs. 2. To encourage the increase of local employment and new business opportunities. 3. To maintain the vitality and viability of the village centre. 4. To support proposals which maintain and enhance the vitality and viability of our village centre, based on its diverse mix of retail residential and public services.
Local Green Space A consultation took place in July 2018 with residents of Youghal Close and the western end of Berens Road regarding an area of green space in the vicinity. Appendix 22 of the main NDP contain details of this consultation.	• Maintain Local Green Spaces • Valued as a community amenity space. • Picnics and private events. • Community events and enhance enjoyment of neighbourhood.	Local Green Space Assessment and associated policies developed: 1. To ensure valued Green Spaces in the village are maintained.
Local Green Space In July 2018 a consultation took place with allotment holders.	• Maintain Local Green Spaces • Healthier produce and satisfaction of growing it.	Local Green Space Assessment and associated policies developed: To ensure valued Green Spaces

Appendix 21 of the main NDP contains details of this consultation.	• Social interaction and companionship • Physical exercise, education, wildlife and encourage family time.	in the village are maintained.
Community facilities: At the Village Fete August, 2015 Villagers were asked to identify from a list, the 5 most important things that describe their ideal place. The stand was visited by 100 people. Villagers were also asked to tick the eight most important Good facilities that Shrivenham should have. The details of the Community Life survey can be found at Appendix 19 of the main SDP.	• Village Identity 72% • Rural 66% • Safe 62% • Country Views 57% • Friendly 56% • Traditional 48% • Attractive 41% • Neat and Tidy 28% • Eco friendly 16% • Vibrant 14% • Other 2% • Urban 1% . • Medical and Care facilities 75% • Shops and Services 68% • Public Transport 66% • Country walks 60% • Schools and childcare 55% • Pubs and restaurants 53% • High speed Broadband 40% • Mobile phone coverage 37% • Care Home for elderly. 35% • Cycle routes 34% • Local jobs and businesses 33% • Pedestrian routes 33% • Off road parking 29% • Affordable homes for rent 20% • Youth facilities 16% • Farmers Market 14% • Leisure facilities 12%	This feedback helped to shape the core Principles and Policies within the Neighbourhood Plan

The issues and concerns raised during the pre-submission consultation are included at Appendix 1.

Appendix 1 Issues and concerns raised during the pre-submission consultation

Consultee	Section/Policy	Comment	Requested changes	Action
VWHDC	General Requirements	Basic Conditions statement	Need to consider how the plan meets the basic conditions and why	Basic Conditions Statement prepared
		Health Check	Need to have a health check. This ensures the plan will meet the basic conditions.	Appointed NPIERS consultant
		Evidence base: need 3 core documents; Basic Condition Statement, Consultation Statement and Environmental Report.	Consider the comments received through the consultation and whether amendments are required. Provide a date for each of the evidence base documents referred to in the plan.	Basic Conditions Statement prepared. Landscape Character Assessment and Village Character Assessment for the Environmental Report.
		References to the Local Plan vary & are inconsistent.	Make all Local Plan references clear and consistent.	Plan amended.
		Links to the evidence base don't work.	Link to the relevant NP page rather than the documents.	Evidence base documents collated into a single document which is referred to throughout the plan. Links removed.
	2.1.5 Village Amenities	Village amenities - use Vale report as part of the evidence base	Link to the Vale report	Done
	Section 4	4.2 - make reference to the NPPG and make reference to which NPPF we are referring to.		Done. We refer to NPPF 2018.
		General comment	Separate out the word 'principle' from its corresponding text.	Done
		We have missed an opportunity on renewable energy	We should consider policies that express support for specific forms	Noted. No action. It was not considered viable for our

			of renewable energy.	Neighbourhood Plan to develop policies on renewable energy in the absence of a firm directive from Central Government
	H2 and H5	Equality comments	Enhance policies H2 and H5 to take account of Vale policies Core policy 26 and development policy 2.	Done
	PROW1 and CA5 & others		Enhance PROW1 and CA5 and others relating to green space to improve access for disables and mobility impaired people.	Done
	SCSH2		Expand SCSH2 to make reference to all abilities as well as all age groups.	Done
	DS1	DS1	Enhance navigation or clarify evidence base documents.	Done – see comment above regarding links in the document.
		DS1	Make reference to VWHDC LCA which supports Development policy 29.	Done
	Fig. 4.1	Figure 4.1 map is unclear	Provide better mapping	Done
	H1	Policy H1 criterion 4 uses the word protect when relating to heritage assets. This is not consistent with the NPPF (either version) and LPP1 core policy 39.	Amend to reflect this using conserve and enhance.	Done.
	H1	H1 Criterion 5	Clarify whether this relates to the highlighted views in the evidence base documents.	Done
	H1	H1 Criterion 7 - how will this be demonstrated?	Expand supporting text.	Done
	H2	H2. The 2017 HNS may be updated or superseded by	Add appropriate text to relate to the policy and ensure that the most	Text amended

		more up to date Vale documentation such as the SHMA as set out in Core Policy 22 during the life of the NDP.	appropriate data for the parish is used at the time of any application.	
	H2	Policy H2. Developments have to meet the need of the whole district unless it is a rural exception site. The housing allocations policy has 20% of new development allocated to local people.	Remove the policy or update to make reference to the Vale Housing Allocation policy.	Updated Policy H4 to refer to the VWHDV Housing Allocations policy. Policy H2 – Housing mix - retained
	H3	Policy H3. The text relating to this policy needs to be clearer .	Instead, highlight where significant adverse impact is caused by a particular aspect or concern.	Policy updated.
			Where there is reference to important gaps or local views refer to these where they are highlighted in the evidence base.	Section on local views revisited and updated.
	H4	Policy H4 - See comments on policy H2	Revise to make reference to the Vale Housing Allocations policy	Done
	H5	Policy H5	The context of this policy should also make reference to development being in conformity with other relevant policies in the NDP.	Policy updated.
	D1a	Policy D1a	Re-order	Done
	D1b	Policy D1b	Separate into individual elements for clarity e.g. by using bullet points	Done
	D1c	Policy D1c - too prescriptive without evidence to justify it.	Reword to state that it will support the preferred form of development with other forms needing justification.	Policy updated
	D2	D2	Reword to state that it will support the preferred form	Policy updated

			of development with other forms needing justification.	
	P1a	Unclear	Revise to ensure exact intentions are clear	Policy updated
	P1b	Not enough evidence for the whole of Shrivenham	No action requested	This policy resulted from the specific and repeated concerns of residents. No action taken.
	P2b	Any policy would need to comply with the relevant adopted local plan policies and other policies within the NDP	Reword to include this	Policy updated
	P2c	This is a community action	Label it as such (remove from policies)	Policy changed to aspiration CA8a
	EE1c		Reference should be made such that the policy only refers to development not as a result of permitted development rights through permitted changes of use	Policy updated
	LC1		Make reference to the North Wessex Downs AONB Management Plan 2014-19	Done
	LC3a		Consider rewording in accordance with the LCA.	Done
	LC3a		Make reference to the Vale LCA and to the Oxfordshire Historic Landscape Characterisation project.	Appropriate references made.
	LC3b		Consider rewording to accord with LPP1 policy CP39 and the NPPF.	Done
	LC4		Make reference to the Vale LCA	Appropriate references made.
	Figs 4.3-4.6	Poor quality maps	Replace with higher quality images	Maps updated.
	LC5		Make reference to the Vale Open Spaces report	Done
	HE1	Missing the policy title	Add this. Also ensure the wording is in accordance	Done.

			with LPP1 core policy 39 and the NPPF.	
	HE2a	Does not take account of dead or dying trees.	Reference the trees in terms of their amenity value	Noted. No action.
	HE4c	It may not be appropriate for all new development to include habitat for indigenous species e.g. change of use or small extensions.	Reword as appropriate	Reworded.
	PROW1	As above	Reword as appropriate	Reworded
	PROW3	Contains some duplication with PROW1.	Consider merging these.	Merged
	PROW3	Contains some duplication with PROW1.	Consider merging these. Consider making reference to OCC RoW Management plan 2015-2025	Done
	CSH1b	Thames Water	Consider renaming as company names may change during the life of the plan	Done
	CSH2		Support should be qualified as being in accordance with the other relevant policies in the NDP	Done
	CSH3	This may not be appropriate for all types of planning applications.	Clarify this.	Policy reworded
	DP2		Note that the appendix can be updated	Done
OCC	CA1/CA2	Bus Services: aspiration for additional routes and later buses could be realised within a year.		Noted. Text updated.
	P2b		Change wording to 'Planning applications which include a park and ride facility near the Swindon-Oxford bus route will be supported'	Done

	PROW1	Funding is unlikely to be available through S106 or CIL.	Would like further details on specific links that are regarded as beneficial to residents	No Action. Outside scope of Neighbourhood Plan.
		Traffic Free cycle routes may not be able to be required of developers	Add 'where appropriate' to this policy to indicated that PROW and cycle ways will be improved where they relate to new development	This policy has been significantly reworded. The intent is now covered by the new wording.
			Change 'managed crossings' to 'controlled and zebra crossings' to more accurately describe the desired infrastructure	Done
	Table 8	These standards will not always be considered necessary in planning terms		Noted. However These standards resulted from the specific and repeated concerns of residents. No action taken.
	A420	Reference to the Hindhaugh report. Caution against this as further detail would be required to support the conclusion.		Removed from section 3.3.4. Retained in the table of Socioeconomic factors as this related to a snapshot of opinions at the time.
		Archeology. No reference to the historic environment.		Appropriate references added.
	Waste		Consideration of how future developments can be planned to ensure waste is managed according to the hierarchy of reducing, reusing and recycling	Appropriate references added.
	Health & Wellbeing	The opportunity to state that the plan will aim to improve the health and wellbeing of people in the parish has		Added sections relating to Green Spaces, Rights of Way etc.

		been missed.		
	Community, Social and Health	In Community, Social and Health (p 3/3) add a statement supporting developments to facilitate physical activity and healthy food choices as part of a health objective, to ensure that this does not only refer to healthcare services		Noted. Healthy Food choices added into the section on allotments.
	Community, Social and Health	In Environment (p3/5) add an objective to reduce air pollution.		Noted. Objective not included as there is nothing in the evidence base to support it and no overall directive from Central Government.
	Active Travel		State the co-benefits in encouraging physical activity and mental wellbeing	Done
			The plan should emphasize active travel routes to schools	Done
	Healthier food choices		Policy restricting the opening of hot food takeaways near schools and educational establishments	Noted. Outside the scope of the Neighbourhood Plan.
			Consider supporting the expansion of the allotments	Text added.
	Mental Health		This could be emphasized alongside existing references for the need for better access to the High Street. This is particularly important for the elderly.	Noted. The benefits to Mental Health of open spaces were already included in the section on Green Spaces. No action.
	CSH1a		Explore developing multi-purpose facilities	Text updated.

	Community & Leisure		Broaden the objective beyond young people to encourage leisure facilities for all ages	Objective updated.
	SD1	currently only incorporates aesthetic features	Expand to include features that will benefit health and wellbeing, such as minimum room sizes & ground floor bathrooms.	Noted. No action. It was felt that this would be covered by higher level policies e.g VWHDC and Central Government
	SP2	Does not include facilitating accessible walking routes suitable for mobility scooters		Noted. Not applicable to Policy SP2 but Policy PROW2 updated to include statements about mobility scooters.
	Infrastructure		Add a recommendation that infrastructure changes are 'early phased' such that they are available as soon as people move in.	Policy CSH1b updated.
Gladman		Need to ensure we use the most up to date HNA		Noted. No action.
	H1	Recommendations should not restrict a development that demonstrates development could be accommodated in an area		Noted. No action.
		Points 2 and 3 are already covered by other policies in the SNP	Remove them	Noted. No action.
		Point 4 is covered in the Framework	Delete it	Noted. No action
		Point 5 is over restrictive		Noted. No action
		Point 7 does not accord with paragraph 111 of the Framework	Amend to reflect the framework	New paragraph added in supporting text to refer to paragraph 118 of NPPF 2018
	H2		Add wording to the policy to allow for changing	Policy already amended as per

			housing needs	VWHDC suggestion. Added 'or any subsequent' to this.
	D3	Para 173 of the NPPF requires consideration of viability.	Either add a statement requiring viability assessment or delete the policy	Added a reference to NPPF2018 in the supporting text.
	LC1	Questions the need for the as the AONB is 1.5 miles away	Delete it	No action. The AONB is visible from the village.
	LC3a	Concerned about LCA3 and wants it revisited as they say it will change once the Townsend Road development is built	Revisit Policy and LCA3. Want the boundaries of LCA3 redrawn.	The Landscape Character Assessment was developed in 2018, almost two years after permission for the Townsend Road development was granted. The LCA therefore takes account of the development.
	HE1	Revisit policy to accord with NPPF	Make the distinction between designated and non-designated heritage assets. Include a plan of the assets.	Policy amended to refer to designated and non-designated heritage assets.
	General		Want their site to be allocated within the plan.	Noted. The plan will remain a non-allocating plan.
Paul Butt representing the owners of the golf course		The northernmost three holes are not within the plan area	Update the plan to make it clear which areas policy DS1 relates to	Map updated
		Want limited development on part of the course	Reword DS1 to allow some flexibility for development	Noted. No action.
		Para 2.1.5 is unfair to the golf course	Reword para 2.1.5	Requested works removed.
		Views V8, V9 & V10 appear to be from private land		The section on views and vistas updated.
Michael East		AONB and long distance views are	Amend para 4.5.1	Noted. No action

Owner of Home Farm		some distance away from village		
		Wants support for the possibility of development from his land		Noted. No action
		Views can only be considered important if they are taken from publicly accessible land but not from permissive paths	Amend para 4.5.6	The section on views and vistas updated.
		Viewpoints on the maps have been taken from private land or permissive paths	Amend figures 4.3,4.4,4.5 and 4.5	The section on views and vistas updated.
			Remove any photographs taken from permissive paths	Done
		Viewpoints 8 and 10 are in Watchfield Parish and should not be included	Remove viewpoints V8 and V10	Noted that these are views across Shrivenham Parish from outside the Parish boundary
		Policy LC4c should conform with Vale Core Policy 44	Change to state that development proposals the preserve and enhance these views will be strongly supported	Wording revised
		Policies relating to protecting wildlife to should only relate to new development and not have a wider application that interferes with or restricts farming practice		There is nothing in the policies that restrict farming practice. Point noted. No action.
		Does not agree with the designation of LGS along parts of the towpath route		Designation amended.

		Wants to ensure that PRoW relate to the definitive map		Definitive map designations added to all PROW references.
		Does not want permissive paths included	Amend para 3.2.4, 4.7.3 and policy PRoW3 to reflect this	The section on views and vistas updated.
			Include the Home Farm Partnership in 4.7.4 about the circular walk	Updated.
		Wants the Parish Council to be open to development of land to the south of the village		Noted. No action
		Aspiration CA4b and para. 5.5 are inadequate and shortsighted. Consultations are out of date.	Wants the building of facilities for teenagers in the north/northwest of the village	Noted. No action
		SCA5 and policy CA5	Remove the word 'approved'.	Updated.
			Wants more dog bins to the south of the village	Aspiration CA5 updated.
		Local Green space assessment. Argues against various comments relating to the towpath route		Document updated to remove reference to land owned by Mr East.
		Village surveys 2014 and 2015 are out of date and no longer relevant.	Remove reference to these surveys	Noted. No action
Sarah Payne (Adkin) for Lydia Otter.		The plan needs to acknowledge the desirability and possibility of development to support Pennyhooks Farm		Noted. No action. Plan is non-allocating.
		The SNP does not accurately quote the LCA. It does not recognise the centre's need for		Document updated.

		funding.		
Historic England	Heading 'The Place'	Conservation orders' is not a recognised heritage term	Change to 'listed buildings'	Updated.
	Page 1-2		Add conservation and enhancement of the historic environment of the plan as one of the principles	Text updated
	Page 1-3		Add a reference to the historic environment to the fifth paragraph	Text updated
	1.2.1	Reference to NPPF	Should be updated to refer to NPPF 2018	Updated
	1.2.4		Add a new sub-section entitled 'History' or similar and include info about the historical development of the plan area	Done
		Archeological investigations. There is potential for archeological remains, particularly within the conservation area.		Section on archeology added.
		The settlement of Shrivenham stands at the cross roads of historical routes connecting market towns		Text updated
	2.1.3 & 4.6.2		Explain what the special interest of the conservation area is (the reason for its designation).	Text updated
		Lack of a Conservation Area Character Appraisal or Management Plan	Create one	Noted. Outside scope.
		There are 42 listed buildings in the Parish.		Updated.
	4.6.1, 2.1.3,	Inconsistencies	Rectify	Updated

	3.3.10	regarding Elm Tree House		
		Non-designated heritage assets are important in creating local identity.		Text updated to refer to non-designated heritage assets.
	PEST Analysis	Heritage assets have not been included		Noted. Table was developed as part of the consultation process. No action.
		Condition survey of heritage assets not included		Noted. No action. Outside scope.
		Has there been any loss of character in the conservation area that affects the local character?		There has been no loss of character. No action.
	Target Vision Statement	No reference to the historic environment		Noted. This vision statement was developed by the original steering group. It was decided not to change this.
	SH1		Specifically refer to the character and appearance of the conservation area	Updated.
	H3		Add 'or adversely affect the significance or special interest of heritage assets'	Updated.
	Views	It is important to be clear why a view should be protected in order to justify and defend the protection.		This is covered in the Landscape Character Assessment. No action.
		Stating that development proposals that preserve and enhance views will be strongly supported may be construed as any		Policy updated to make intention clear

		development that does not impinge on any view will be strongly supported. There may be other reasons why those proposals are unacceptable.		
	LC4	Revise to be consistent with para 16 of the NPPF	Suggested rewording policy	Policy updated
	HE1	Reword to reflect the need to consider the significance and special interest of heritage assets	Suggested rewording policy	Policy updated
National Grid		No gas transmission apparatus in the plan area.		No Action
		Electricity is supplied by SSE.		No Action
Natural England		No comments. Assesses our proposal as low risk.		No Action
Thames Water	H1	Changes took place in April 2018 to the way that water and wastewater are delivered. This is detailed in the response.	Suggested rewording of supporting text for policy H1	Text reworded
Elm Tree Medical Partnership	Para 3.3.10	Factual errors.	Suggested rewording of text	Text reworded
			The photograph is of Elm Tree House, not Elm Tree Surgery.	Photograph shows Elm Tree House with Elm Tree surgery beside it. Caption updated.
Cricket	CSH1a and	Supports these		No Action

Club	CSH2	policies		
	LC5	Supports these policies		No Action
		Sports pavilion	Wants specific mention of this proposal helping to give it more status.	Noted. No action.
Environment Agency		Do not have the resources to comment on the plan. Have given a link to their guidance documents online.		No Action
Oxfordshire Clinical Commissioning Group		Asks that the local planning authority and developers consider how developments will impact on services and how these could be mitigated.		No Action
Virgin Media		We should consider adding full fibre connectivity and multiple supplier consultation, as per section 112 of the NPPF 2018	They give an example to be considered.	Policy D4 added.
Representative of Golf Club		Same comments about the golf course as given by Paul Butt vis. unsustainability.	Provides proposals for development on 10-15% of the golf course to provide care village for the elderly and starter homes for the young.	No Action. Plan is non-allocating.
DPDS Consulting Group	page 3/13	Suggests that the current solution would require elderly residents moving to Shrivenham to get public transport to Wanborough for		Noted. No Action.

		healthcare.		
	Page2/8	E5 Employment opportunities within the village are limited, therefore most residents would have to travel to work. Area requires employment		Noted. Outside scope. No Action
	S7	Area requires starter homes		This is covered by policy H5. No action.
	S10	Area requires elderly accommodation		This is covered by policy H5. No action.
	DS1	Current wording would make the proposed development difficult.	Requests rewording.	Plan is non-allocating. No Action
	LCA6		Requests a change to the wording.	Noted. No Action
Members of the public	Traffic and Parking	The plan does not consider the parking issues of High Street residents		Covered in policies P2a,b and c. No action.
		Off road loading areas for shops on the High Street		Aspiration CA8b covers this. No action,
	CA9	Improved parking regulations for the High Street.		Covered in aspiration CA8b
		The plan does not consider the parking issues of High Street residents		Covered in aspirations CA8a and CA8b
		No mention of policing within the plan		Outside scope.
	3.3.4	Wants road safety issues to be 'more fully covered' by the NP rather than just aspirations.	Wants more emphasis to the effect of an additional 800 houses on traffic.	Outside scope. Development to which this comment relates has already been approved.
	CA7	Wants		Outside scope.

		enforcement measures for speeding traffic, but not speed bumps.		
	CA7	Raises concerns about traffic on Highworth Road. Wants a Zebra crossing.		Outside scope.
	CA7/CA8	Concern about amount and speed of traffic in the High Street. Asks if there can be an extension and mini-roundabout at the Faringdon Road junction with Pennyhooks Lane, allowing traffic from Watchfield and the Academy to use the new roundabout without the need to go through the High Street.		Outside scope. The planned development north of Highworth Road will deliver a new roundabout off the A420. No action.
		Need to reflect the need of a larger community. Increased traffic makes safety measures more important.		Outside scope
		Longcot Road would benefit from traffic calming measures, including speed signs. Wants a pavement from the development to the circular walk route.		Outside scope.
	CA7	Wants bricks laid in areas where		Outside scope.

		speeding is a problem. They feel this would help in the High Street and Colton Road.		
		The adverse effects of development have been underestimated. Requirement for a new, larger Doctors surgery on a new site. Provision of hospital facilities will become overstretched. Recognises that these points are outside the scope of an NP. Concerns about additional traffic and congestion both in the village and on A420. The new link to the A420 must be considered essential. Speeding continues to be a problem with no enforcement. Encourages the use of Community Speedwatch.		The Doctors surgery has confirmed that there are measures in place to accommodate the new residents. The Parish Council is exploring the use of Community Speedwatch. Outside scope. No action.
		Very concerned about parking in the High Street which will get worse after all the development. Wants time parking restriction.		Outside scope although this is covered in Aspiration CA8b.
	Schools 3.3.11	Feels that if the school is not developed the development should not be approved. Wants		Planning permission for this site has already been granted. No action.

		community consultation on this.		
		Wants a policy where the developer has to contribute a significant percentage of costs for any expansion of the school & FCC. Money should be paid up front.		S106 and CIL payments cover this. No action.
		There is no mention of a new school.		Outline planning permission for a new school has already been granted. It was not considered necessary to include details in the plan.
		Wants inclusion of a new primary school as close as possible to the centre of the village. A location further north would encourage parents living in the south of the village to drive their children to school.		Outline planning permission for a new school to the north of the village has already been granted. It is outside the scope of the plan to insist on a different location.
	Housing 4.2	New housing is not currently in keeping with village characteristics. Wants lower density housing with grass verges and more natural green space.		Covered by Design and Landscape policies. No action.
		Wants individual architecture, not mass-produced housing		Unachievable. Covered as far as possible by design policies. No action.
		Comments about		Not relevant to plan.

		the impact of the new L&G development on their property e.g loss of view and privacy, extra pedestrians walking past property. Requests for measures to reduce the impact of the new development on the property.		No action.
		Wants the L&G houses backing on to Chestnut Gardens changed to bungalows.		Outside scope. No action.
	H1/H2	Need open market bungalows on new developments.		Partially covered in policy H2. The plan cannot insist of specific housing types such as bungalows.
	CA6a	Sheltered accommodation is required for elderly residents and more houses for older residents.		Covered in Policy H5.
	Fig 4.8	Objecting to the suggested cycle route close to their property.		Not relevant to plan. No action.
	VCA5	This is Barringtons or Barrington Estate (listed as surrounding Berens Road)		Noted. No action.
	PEST S8	High proportion of buy to let		Noted. No action.
	3.2.2 SD1	This is duplicated under Design objectives and Delivery objective		Renamed and consolidated under design objectives.

	3.2.2 SD2	There are two of these		Renamed and consolidated under design objectives.
	3.2.2. SH4	How would buy to let be actively discouraged? If there was no need there would be no market	Remove statement.	Objective and policy discouraging buy to let removed as they were unenforceable.
	DS1	Coalescence is already taking place in Townsend Road		Comment noted.
	LC2 point 1	The new developments are turning the village into suburbia		Comment noted.
	CSH3	Contractors and tradesmen should not park in adjacent roads or on the main Highworth/Shriven ham Road.		Covered by policy CSH3
	Utilities T9	Low water pressure		Not relevant to the plan.
	CSH1a and 1b	Concern that the Doctors surgery will be unable to expand to accommodate the new residents.		The Doctors surgery has confirmed that there are measures in place to accommodate the new residents. Outside scope. No action.
	CSH1a and 1b	Wants to see agreement on who provides which service i.e. Oxfordshire or Swindon.		Outside scope.
	Green spaces & Environment 4.5/LC5	Wants to NP to champion new green spaces, with more areas like Coppidthorne Meadow and the Pocket Park. Also		Policy LC6 added supporting the development of new allotment gardens.

		more allotments.		
		Should we not anticipate the new green space near Manor Lane with the possible casual football pitch?		Not relevant to the plan.
		Wants controls over light pollution		As Shrivenham is not a 'dark' village it was decided not to include a dark skies policy. It was felt that control over light pollution will be covered by higher level plans and legislation.
	LC4	Pennyhooks Farm and surroundings ? residents question mark		No action.
	HE3a and HE3b	Cleycourt Road village hedge line was dismissed		Comment noted
	He4a, 4b and 4c	Field next to Cleycourt Road has been obliterated due to development		Comment noted
	para 2.1.5	The golf course does not provide a buffer between Shrivenham and Watchfield as Northford is to the east. This is misleading.	Some rewording to better describe the golf course location within Shrivenham.	Map of settlement gap enhanced
	SH2	Concerns that an argument could be made for infill of the golf course.		Noted.
	PROW2	This section would benefit from the addition of Public Rights of Way linking the villages and main areas.	The PROW across the golf course linking Shrivenham and Watchfield should be shown.	Sections on views and vistas updated and references to the definitive map added.

	Common Land	There is no reference to Common Land.	This should be identified and shown.	Previous research identified very little common land within the plan area. It was not felt relevant to include it.
	PROW1	Would like OCC/VWHDC to set up a cycle way to Swindon adjacent to the A420 and linking to local villages.		Outside scope.
	Sport & Leisure 2.1.5	Add smallbore rifle shooting to list of sports		Done
		Only mention of one playground - there are two		The second playground was delivered after this analysis was carried out. No action.
		No mention of the MUGA		Not relevant to the plan.
	CSH2	Wants special rates for residents to hire the memorial hall for parties etc. and commercial rates for businesses etc		Outside scope of plan. However this is already in place.
	Business Aspirations	Businesses should communicate with each other for the wellbeing and future of the community		Agreed but outside the scope of the plan.
	General	Thanks the team for the work done on behalf of the village		Thanks gratefully received.
	Objectives	It is important that new residents are encouraged to feel part of the village.		Agreed but outside the scope of the plan.
		Hopes that aspirations are		Noted.

		fulfilled. Wants the views and countryside around the village retained.		
		Is there a requirement or intention to update the plan in future and what timeline does this have?		New sentence added in section 1.
	Transport	Wants the railway station re-opened, with a car park.		Outside scope of plan.
	CA1	Wants a bus service to Highworth		Outside scope of plan.